|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Block 2 |  | Analysis: Viewpoints in Conflict  |

This assignment is to challenge you ability to analyze historical sources to determine biases, incorrect information, and opinions. This assignment is in 2 parts and is worth 20 marks! Both parts of this assignment is due May 4th

Part 1: Please analyze both accounts of the 1875 Bison Hunt Incident by answering the following questions based upon the 2 arguments on page 175 of the Horizons Textbook

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Account of Priest at St. Laurent** | **Account of Chief Factor Clarke** |
| **Author’s purpose**Why is the author writing this? Is there a reason? |  |  |
| **Tone of document**Is the author expressing an emotion? If so, how is he feeling and why ? |  |  |
| **Facts presented**What are the main points that the author is arguing- this can be in point form |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Block 2 |  | Analysis: Viewpoints in Conflict  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Account of Priest at St. Laurent** | **Account of Chief Factor Clarke** |
| **Opinions expressed**What is the authors attitude towards the Métis leader Dumont and his men? Does he believe that Dumont was right to arrest the illegal hunters? Why or why not? |  |  |
| **Values Expressed**What does the author value? (Métis culture, the right to hunt, Strong Federal government, settlers rights to the land)Does he believe that Dumont and his men should be punished or praised for arresting the illegal hunters? Why or why not? |  |  |
| **Reliability**How reliable is the author, do you believe he is a credible source of information or is he biased? |  |  |
| **What information is left out?**Is there anything that they author leaves out of his story, and why do you think he left it out? |  |  |

 **The 1875 St. Laurent Incident**

Now its your turn. Please write an account of the Hunt of 1875. Please present the facts about the 1875 Incident, what happened, who was involved, and how it ended. Also, please express your own opinion on the 1875 Incident. Feel free to include a separate sheet with drawings if you feel this will help you to express what happened. Papers should be 1-2 pages, typed and handed in along with the above worksheet.

Things to consider:

* What law was broken by the illegal hunters?
* Why did Clarke send out arrest warrants against Dumont?
* Do you think that the Métis should be allowed separate laws, why or why not?
* Was Dumont right in his arrest of the illegal hunters, or was Clarke right to issue arrest warrants against Dumont?
1. If you think Dumont was right, then how could he have handled it better?
2. If you think Clarke was right, then how could he have handled it better?

How to structure the paper:

1. Introduction: In 1 sentence, you should identify the viewpoint that you are taking and why without getting into detail about the body of your paragraph. In other words, don’t give away your supporting evidence.

(ex. The St. Laurent Incident of 1875 was dealt with properly because...)

1. Supporting evidence: This is the space in which you explain you are taking the viewpoint that you identified in the first sentence. Here you explain what happened and why you are in favor of Dumont or Clarke’s actions. Also, include if and how the situation could have been handled better.
2. Conclusion: In 1 sentence, you should summarize your opinion and conclude your argument. Do not simply restate your introduction sentence.

(ex. Based upon the information provided, I believe that the St. Laurent incident was an illegal violation of Métis or Canadian rights)